



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Stephen PALM

Group Art Unit : 2631

Appl. No. : 10/621,351

Examiner : Pankaj KUMAR

Filed : July 18, 2003

Confirmation No. : 2553

For : ACTIVATION OF MULTIPLE xDSL MODEMS WITH HALF  
DUPLEX AND FULL DUPLEX PROCEDURES

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop AMENDMENT  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir :

In accordance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §1.56 and §§1.97-1.98, and supplemental to the Information Disclosure Statements previously filed on October 24, 2003, January 7, 2004, March 31, 2004, April 15, 2004, April 27, 2004, June 7, 2004, August 18, 2004, October 15, 2004, November 22, 2004, December 23, 2004, January 21, 2005, March 8, 2005, and April 19, 2005, Applicant hereby submits a copy of a Canadian Office Action that was mailed on July 6, 2005, with respect to related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,444,773, in which U.S. Patent No. 6,226,280 was cited. Applicant notes that this document has been cited by the U.S. Examiner of the above-captioned application in the Office Action mailed on June 15, 2005.

A copy of a Canadian Office Action that was mailed on July 6, 2005, with respect to related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,445,332 is enclosed, in which no prior art document was cited.

A copy of a Canadian Office Action that was mailed on July 13, 2005, with respect to related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,445,334 is enclosed, in which no prior art document was cited.

A copy of a letter reporting the issuance of an Office Action that was mailed on May 23, 2005 in related Chinese Patent Application No. 99800365.4 is enclosed, in which International Publication No. WO 97/49229 is cited. Applicant notes that the document was previously cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on October 23, 2003, and thus, a copy of this document is not attached hereto.

The category of relevance, pertinent portions, and claims to which each document is relevant, as ascertained by the Canadian Examiner are set forth in the Canadian Office Action.


Further to 37 C.F.R. §1.98 (a)(2)(ii), a copy of the U.S. patent is not enclosed herewith. However, if a copy is needed, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the above material and cite the same. Each document is listed on the attached PTO-1449 Form. The Examiner is requested to initial the appropriate spaces on the attached Form and to return a copy of the completed Form to Applicant with the next official communication in the present application.

Applicant notes that the above-noted documents were either previously cited by the U.S. Examiner or cited in a filed Information Disclosure Statement and thus, no fee or certification is required to ensure consideration of submitted materials.

Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Stephen PALM



Bruce H. Bernstein  
Reg. No. 29,027

August 15, 2005  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 Roland Clarke Place  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 716-1191

Steven Wegman  
Reg. No. 31,438

FORM PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	Atty. Docket No. P23853	Application No. 10/621,351
<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b> <b>BY APPLICANT</b> (Use several sheets if necessary)		Applicant Stephen PALM	
		Filing Date July 18, 2003	Group 2631

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
		6 2 2 6 2 8 0	05/01/01	ROARK et al.			

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES      NO
	97	/ 4 9 2 2 9	12/24/97	W.I.P.O			

## OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)


EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

\*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.